

0040-4020(93)EoO27-D

Photochemistry of N-Phthaloyl Derivatives of Electron-Donor-Substituted Amino Acids

Axel G. Griesbeck*^a, Andreas Henz^a, Joachim Hirt^a, Volker Ptatschek^{1,a}, Thomas Engel^b, Dagmara Löffler^{2,b}, and Friedemann W. Schneider*b

a Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität, Am Hubland, D-97074 Würzburg. b Institut für Physikalische Chemie der Universität, Marcusstr. 9-11, D-97070 Würzburg, Germany.

Abstract:

The hydroxy substituted amino acids threonine and serine have been investigated concerning their photochemical behaviour when activated as N-phthaloyl substrates. The methyl esters **la** and 2a solely underwent cleavage of the central C-C single bond to give the glycine derivative 3 and an aldehyde fragment. C-unprotected threonine derivative **1 b** is converted into a series of products the composition of which depends on solvent polarity and on the electronic state. Three reaction modes were detected for the N-phthaloyl derivatives of the $\frac{aryl}{dx}$ substituted amino acids phenylalanine, tyrosine, and dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA): (A) decarboxylation (only for 12a), (B) [§]-fragmentation, and (C) ring enlargement reaction. Processes **B** and **C** are initiated by photo electron transfer (PET), as the solvent dependence revealed. The DOPA derivatives **14a** and

14 b are the most prominent examples due to their exclusive **PET** reactivity leading to type C products **(18a,b)** with high diastereoselectivity (90: 10). PET results from the first excited singlet states of $\overline{1}$ 2 and $\overline{1}$ 3, whereas for compounds $\overline{1}$ 4 the corresponding triplet states are involved. The correlation between photochemical reactivity and the fluorescence decay data for compounds **12a,b** and **15** is discussed.

INTRODUCTION

The chemistry of electronically excited phthalimides is dictated by hydrogen and/or electron

transfer reactions.^{3,4} When substituted with electron donating substituents in the side chain, these

substrates represent flexible intramolecular donor-acceptor couples⁵ suitable for the study of the distance and energy dependence of photo electron transfer (PET) processes. N-Phthaloyl derivatives of α -amino acids are ideal model substrates due their large structural variety. The known phototransformations of N-phthaloyl activated amino acid derivatives can be classified by two principal ways of primary action following electronic excitation: H-transfer from γ , δ , or ϵ positions6 or electron transfer from an appropriate donor position which could be a sulfur7, $oxveen⁸$. or amino⁹ substituent in the side chain of the amino acid. In this communication we describe photo electron transfer (PET) initiated processes for the oxygen substituted amino acids threonine and serine and for the aryl substituted amino acids phenylalanine, tyrosine, and dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA).

RESULTS

Threonine and Serine (Hydroxy activated amino acids)

When these amino acids (Thr, Ser) were protected against photodecarboxylation¹⁰ by means of their methyl esters, irradiation of the threonine substrate (2S,3R)-la as well as of the serine derivative (2S)-2a in acetone or acetonitrile exclusively led to cleavage of the central C-C bond with formation of N-phthaloyl glycine 3 and the corresponding aldehydes acetaldehyde and formaldehyde, respectively (Scheme 1). Use of the O-deuterated starting materials led to α deuterated 3 in racemic form.¹¹ This cleavage reaction is most probably initiated by PET from the hydroxyl group towards the excited imide moiety followed by intramolecular proton transfer and aldehyde elimination.¹² No products deriving from γ -hydrogen abstraction could be detected in contrast to the results reported by Saito et al. on the photoreaction of structurally comparable Nphthaloyl alkyl hydroperoxides. l3

The C-unprotected amino acid derivatives 1 **b** and 2 b14 now offered *two* possible sites of electron donor functionalities: the carboxyl and the hydroxyl group. As already reported for the Nphthaloyl derivatives of methionine⁷ a selectivity dependence should also be expected for threonine and serine concerning solvent polarity and the multiplicity of the electronically excited state. In Table 1 the results are shown for the photolysis of N-phthaloyl threonine **lb** (Scheme 2).

entry	solvent ^a	conditions	conversion $(\%)^b$	4 $(\%)^{\text{b,c}}$	$5(%)^b$	6 $(\%)^b$	$7 \, (%)^{\rm b}$	
	acetone	pyrex	82	88 (0.69)	6		6	
2	acetone	quartz	100	86 (0.65)	6	2	6	
3	acetonitrile	pyrex	100	68 (0.94)	15	12	5	
4	acetonitrile	quartz	100	64 (1.00)	2	32	$\mathbf{2}$	
5	acetonitrile	pyrex/BP ^d	100	92 (0.80)		8		
6	benzene	pyrex	60	61(0.91)	13	19	6	
7	benzene	pyrex/10% H_2O	100	51 (0.82)	6	25	15	
8	methanol	pyrex	100			35	40 ^e	
9	water	pyrex	100	-	-	55	45	

Table 1. Photolysis of **1 b -** product composition

^a 0.01 M solution of **1b** / 13°C / 3000 Å lamps / 24 h / N₂ / RPR-208 Rayonet photoreactor;

 $b¹H NMR$ (200 MHz) normalized to 100%; ^c values in parentheses refer to the 4 E/4 Z-ratio;

 $d_{0.004}$ M solution of benzophenone (BP); ^e additionally 25% of 8 formed.

The diastereomeric N-propenyl compounds¹⁵ $4E$ and $4Z$ are the dominant products deriving from the triplet pathway (solvent sensitized as for entry 1,2 or benzophenone-sensitized as for entry 5). The 4E/4Z-ratio in the benzophenone case, however, clearly differs from the acetone photolysis, indicating the possibility of secondary photochemical isomerization. An additional amount of ca. 30% of N-phthaloyl glycine 5 and the corresponding photodecarboxylation product 6 resulted in acetonitrile (entry 3,4) and benzene (entry 6) as products of the singlet pathway. Low-conversion photolysis clearly showed that 6 is a secondary product formed from 5. Compound 7 is the precursor neither to 6 nor to the diastereomeric alkenes 4E and 4 **Z .** To prove this, independently synthesized 7 was subjected to prolonged irradiation under conditions identical to entry 1 or 3. After 4 d besides 32% of 6 also a dehydration product 916.17 was formed in 30% (in acetone) and 60% (in acetonitrile), respectively (Scheme 3). 9 could in no case been identified in the product mixture when **1 b** was irradiated. Consequently, 4E and 42 are products of an one-photon process. Whether a biradical intermediate, following decarboxylation, is involved in this process or a Grob type fragmentation is induced with concomitant extrusion of carbon dioxide and a hydroxyl radical, has not been clarified yet.¹⁸ The mechanistic scheme is even complicated by the fact that 7 and 8 could also (for 8 even exclusively) be generated *via* secondary photochemical addition of water and methanol, respectively, to the N-propenyl phthalimides 4E and 42.

Scheme 3

The serine derivative 2b, the photochemistry of which has already been mentioned by Kanaoka and coworkers¹⁰, behaved in a less complicate way (Scheme 4). In aprotic solvents N-vinyl phthalimide 10 is formed as major product. Under triplet sensitization conditions (Table 2, entry 1), formaldeyde elimination with subsequent decarboxylation leading to product 6 could not be observed.¹⁹ This process becomes more important when direct excitation was applied (entry 2-4). In protic solvents again the (formal) photodecarboxylation product 11 dominates. Independently it was be. shown, that **10** under photolytic conditions (e.g. entry 5) rapidly adds water with formation of **11.**

entry	solvent ^a	conversion $(\%)^b$	$10^{(9)}b$ 6 (9) ^b		11 $(\%)^b$	
	acetone	60	74	۰	26	
2	acetonitrile	100	83	8	9	
3	benzene	100	76	12	12	
4	methanol	100		38	62	
	water	100	-		100	

Table 2. Photolysis of **2b -** product composition

^a 0.01 M solution of 2b / pyrex / 13°C / 24 h / RPR-208 Rayonet photoreactor;

 $b¹H NMR$ normalized to 100%;

Scheme 4

In summary the following mechanistic conclusions can be drawn: both carboxy as well as hydroxy site of threonine and serine could be activated via electronically excited phthalimides. Probably both activation paths are initiated by PET. Whereas PET from the hydroxyl group is favoured in the singlet case (and for the methyl esters **la** and 2a where carboxy activation is unproductive), in the triplet case PET from the carboxyl group with subsequent carbon dioxide and water elimination is preferred. Solvent polarity has its influences on the conversion rate, however, not pronounced on the product composition (again indicating a competition between PET-pathways). Protic solvents alter the product composition as a result of partial photochemical addition of solvent molecules towards the primarily formed fragmentation products.

Phenylalanine, Tyrosine, and DOPA (Aryl activated amino acids)

As already described, amino acids with electron-donating substituents in the side chain constitute donor-acceptor couples which could be used for the study of energy and distance dependence of PET processes. A major disadvantage of oxygen as well as sulfur substituted amino acids is the constant oxidation potential of the donor functionality which could not be altered by *subsrinuion* tricks. D-Aryl substituted amino acids such as phenylalanine (Phe), tyrosine (Tyr), and dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA) are much more useful for the study of PET processes.

The C-unprotected phenylalanine **12a** has already been reported to undergo exclusively photodecarboxylation (path A) when irradiated in acetone (triplet sensitization - entry 1 in Table 3) or benzene.6 The methyl ester **12 b** is photostable under these conditions.

Table 3. Photolysis of **12a,b, 13a,b** and **14a,b:** product composition

entry	substrate	solvent ^a	time	conversion $(\%)^b$ A $(\%)^b$		\mathbf{B} (%)b,c	C (%)b,d
	12a	acetone	1d	100	100		
2	12a	acetonitrile	1d	100	80	20	
3	13a	acetone	1d	80		65	35
4	14a	acetone	0.5d	80			100 (89:11)
5	12 _b	acetone	1d	10 ^e			
6	12 _b	acetonitrile	- 2d	100		100	۰
7	13b	acetone	ld	75		90	10
8	13b	acetonitrile	1d	10 ^e			
9	14b	acetone	1d	100	-		100 (90:10)
10	14b	acetonitrile	2.5d	35			100(90:10)

 a 0.05 M solution of 12-14 / 20°C / 3000 Å / N₂ / RPR-208 Rayonet photoreactor; ^{b 1}H NMR (250 MHz) normalized to 100%; ^c ca. 1:1 trans/cis mixture of α , ß-unsaturated acids; d values in parentheses refer to the trans/cis-ratio; e exclusively decomposition.

When, however, acetonitrile was used as solvent, a relatively slow cleavage reaction leading to phthalimide **(16) and the diastereomeric methyl cinnamates (17b)** was observed (entry 6). The corresponding acid **12a** in acetonitrile(entry 2) gave traces of cinnamic acids **(17a,** path A) besides the decarboxylation product **15** (Scheme 5).

These results already indicated the possibility of photochemical induced electron transfer steps leading to a pair of aryl radical cation and phthalimideradical anion. From intermolecular PET reactions of phthalimides with electron-rich arenes it is known that aryl radical cations serve as efficient source of benzylic radicals, i.e. as highly acidic species.²⁰ The 1,4-biradicals (singlets for entry 2 and 6) formed after proton transfer can efficiently undergo 2,3-bond (Norrish II type) cleavage. PET processes should gain more importance **for** aryl substituents with lower oxidation potentials. Thus, the tyrosine derivatives²¹ 13a, b yielded the fragmentation products B (entry 3,7) more efficiently than 12 **b.** Triplet excited **13b** (entry 7) also gave path B products besides ca. 10% of path C products (Norrish II cyclization follwed by ring opening). For the DOPA derivatives (14a, **b -** entries 4,9,10) the product composition completely switched in direction of path C products.22 The C-unprotected N-phthaloyl DOPA **14a** did not give even traces of decarboxylation products (path A) quite similar to the results obtained for the methionine case.7 In the latter case a thioether moiety served as efficient electron donor substituent, for **14a the** electron-rich aryl group took over this task. For both cases the product formation is now favored from the triplet excited states. It is obvious that photoinduced electron transfer preceds H-transfer from the benzylic position, otherwise no substantial difference should be observable when going from the phenyl derivative 12 **b** to the catechol derivative 14 **b.** Additionally the solvent dependence and the competition between decarboxylation (probably also an electron transfer process) and y-hydrogen abstraction from the benzylic position reveals that PET operates.

Why, however, are the ring enlargement products **1 Sa, b** formed in the DGPAcase (entries 4,9,10) exclusively (Scheme 7) ? Spin state selectivity could give the answer: PET is energetically already feasible from the triplet state of $14a$, b^{23} which is approximately 12 kcal lower in energy than the corresponging singlet state.²⁴ Because of the relatively short singlet lifetimes *(vide infra)* and good ISC quantum yields²⁵ the reaction pathway *via* triplets becomes more effective for the electronrich DOPA substrates. If triplet states are involved in these cases, triplet 1,4-biradicals (3BR) are the crucial intermediates following PET and proton transfer. These biradicals cannot directly undergo 2,3-bond cleavage due to an additional spin barrier. This could be the reason for the altered product composition implying that the C-C bond formation step is favored after ISC to the singlet biradical state.

Another hint for PET processes already in the phenylalanine cases comes from the fluorescence decay data. 26,27 Wheras in non-polar solvents such as toluene (DK: 2.4) the decay was relatively short (ca. 4 ns) for the Phe derivatives **12a** and **12 b** as well as for the decarboxylation product **15** $(\Phi_{Em} \leq 0.001$, excitation wavelength: 316 nm, see Table 4), the decay time increased in acetonitrile (DK: 36) by a **factor of** 2.3 for **12a** and of 3.6 for **12b.** This effect was not observed for **15. A** plausible explanation for this is repopulation of the first excited singlet state via back electron

transfer from the radical ion pair. This process may lead to an increase in the fluorescence lifetime. Further investigations concerning the luminescence properties and the photochemistry of electron donor substituted phthalimides are in progress.

substrate ^a	solvent	lifetime $(ns)^b$	substrate ^a	solvent	lifetime $(ns)^D$
la	toluene	3.6	1 a	acetonitrile	8.4
1 b	toluene	6.0	1 b	acetonitrile	21.3
4	toluene	6.4	4	acetonitrile	3.9

Table 4. Representative **fluorescence decay data of 12a, 12b,** and **15**

Figure 1. Fluorescence decay of substrates **12a,** 12 **b,** and **15** in solvents of different polarity (toluene, cyclohexane, methylene chloride, and acetonitrile).

SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS

Oxygen as well as aryl substituted amino acids constitute versatile substrates for the study of PET processes in phthalimide photochemistry. Three factors influence the reaction mode and selectivity: (i) the donor ability of the side chain substituent, (ii) the solvent polarity, and (iii) the electronic state of the excited phthalimide, i.e. singlet versus triplet selectivity. The fluorescence decay data indicate a relatively fast ISC process, which means that triplet processes do also play an important role in direct photolysis of N-phthaloyl substrates. The sensitized (benzophenone) photoreactions revealed the triplet photochemistry and could therefore serve as experimental tool for the determination of the singlet behaviour. This interplay is shown in Scheme 8 for the

Scheme 8

For triplet excited **1 b** the decarboxylation / dehydration route is dominating, whereas singlet excited **lb** preferentially undergoes a sequence of electron transfer / proton transfer and fragmentation with formation of acetaldehyde and the glycine derivative 5 which is further photodecarboxylated. The latter route is the only observable when the corresponding methyl ester **la** is applied.

In case of the aryl substituted amino acids the role of the solvent gets more dominant. The phenylalanine dertivative 12 **b** gave path B products (Norrish-cleavage) only in acetonitrile, a solvent which favours electron transfer processes, whereas in acetone (lower polarity / triplet sensitization) no photoreaction could be observed. Quite the contrary, the DOPA derivatives **14a** as well as 14 **b** gave ring enlargement products (path C) much faster in acetone indicating a photo electron transfer process which originates from the triplet excited state (vide supra).

In summary the electron-donor-substituted amino acids investigated here are well suited **substrates** for the investigation of radical as well as electron transfer processes in the photochemistry of phthalimides. Furthermore these compounds which are easily available in enantiomericallypure form could lead to interesting new photoproducts (e.g. the benzazepinediones $18a, b^{28}$) in diastereo- and enantiomerically pure form.

threonine substrate **1 b.**

EXPERIMENTAL PART

All starting materials (N-phthaloyl amino acids and their corresponding methyl esters **1,** 2, 12, 13, and 14) were synthesized from enantiomerically pure α -amino acids (Degussa AG, Fluka AG, and Sigma AG) using methods published by Kidd²⁹, Nefkens³⁰, and Sheehan³¹. The tyrosine derivative **13b** (PHT=Tyr-OMe) was applied as the methyl ether, the DGPA derivatives **14a** and **14 b** (PHT=Dpa-OH and PHT=Dpa-OMe) were applied as their respective acetonides. The photochemical reactions were performed in pyrex vessels (100-250 ml) using a RPR-208 Rayonet[®] photochemical reactor equipped with 3000Å lamps (approx. 800 W) at 13°C under a nitrogen atmosphere. All solvents used for photoreactions (benzene, acetone, acetonitrile, and methanol) were puriss. p.a. (Fluka). Benzophenone (BP, recryst. twice from diethyl ether) was used as sensitizer. The substrate concentration was varied (see Tables l-3) from 0.01 to 0.05 M, the triplet sensitizer (BP) concentration was 0.004 M. Analyses of the crude product mixtures were performed using ¹H and ¹⁵C NMR spectroscopy. Product mixtures were separated (when necessary) be means of silca gel column chromate-graphy (Merck, 60-230 mesh). Analyses of the purified photoproducts were performed using H and ^{13}C NMR (Bruker AC 200, Bruker AC 250, and Bruker WM 400) spectroscopy, IR (Perkin-Elmer 1420), UV (Hitachi U-3200), and MS (Finnigan MAT 8200) spectroscopy. For fluorescence spectroscopy an Aminco-Bowman Series 2 luminescence spectrometer was used. A time correlated single-photon technique (Edinburgh Instruments, model 199 S) using simultaneous acquisition of fluorescence and excitation $(SAFE)^{32,33}$ was employed to determine the fluorescence decay parameters. The excitation and emission wavelength were 316 and 390 nm, respectively. The data were analyzed using a conventional iterative convolution technique described elsewhere 34 . The reported decay times are averages of 4 measurements with fit values of chi-square < 1.3 .

Standard irradiation procedure

Asolution of the substrate (0.01 to 0.05 M) in the appropriate solvent (see Tables l-3) in a pyrex vessel purged with a constant stream of dry nitrogen was irradiated for the time given in Tables l-3. After evaporation of the solvent the composition of the crude photoproduct was determined by NMR spectroscopy using characteristic signals of the independently synthesized compounds. Subsequent thin layer chromatography of the crude reactions products led to the analytically pure products.

Irradiation of the methyl esters of N-phthaloyl threonine and serine (1a, 2a)

Following the standard procedure, after 72 h irradiation of 245 mg (0.93 mmol) of **la** in 65 ml of acetonitrile and 2 ml deuterium oxide a total of 90% of crude photoproduct was isolated which was $>95\%$ methyl-[2-2H₁]-2-phthalimidoethanoat. After crystallization from methanol/water 35%. mp 110-112°C (112°C ^{11a}). ¹H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.42 $(d, {}^{1}J_{HD}=2.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H, \text{NCH}(D)), 7.73 \text{ (m, 2H, Ar.-H)}, 7.86 \text{ (m, 2H, Ar.-H)}.$

Similar results were obtained with the serine derivative 2a. Acetaldehyde and formaldehyde were detected in a sealed tube experiment with **la** and **2a,** respectively.

Irradiation of N-phthaloyl threonine I b

Following the standard procedure, after irradiation of a 0.01 M solution of **1 b** in 100 ml of solvent the crude photoproduct was analyzed by ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectroscopy. Product ratios *were* determined using characteristic signals of the independently synthesized products.

¹H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) data for: (E)-N-propenylphthalimide (4E): δ = 1.82 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 6.55 (m, 2H, =CH); (Z)-N-propenylphthalimide (4Z): δ = 1.72 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 5.81 (dq, $J = 7.0$, 8.3 Hz 1H, =CH), 6.13 (dq, $J = 1.7$, 8.3 Hz 1H, =CH); 2phthalimidoacetic acid (5): $\delta = 4.40$ (s, 2H, NCH); N-methylphthalimide (6): $\delta = 3.15$ (s, 3H,

CH₃); (RS)-2-hydroxypropylphthalimide (7): $\delta = 1.22$ (d, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 3H, CH₃), 3.72 (dd, $J =$ 4.3, 6.8 Hz, 2H, NCH), 4.08 (m, 1H, OCHCH₃); (RS)-2-methoxypropyl-phthalimide (8): δ = 1.16 (d, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 3H, CH₃), 3.30 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.78 (m, 1H, OCHCH₃); 2-oxypropylphthalimide (9): $\delta = 2.19$ (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.43 (s, 2H, CH₂).

Irradiation of N-phthaloyl *serine 2 b*

Following the standard procedure, after irradiation of a 0.01 M solution of 2 **b** in 100 ml of solvent the crude photoproduct was analyzed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. Product ratios were determined using characteristic signals of the independently synthesized products.

¹H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) data for: N-vinylphthalimide (10): δ = 5.00 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H, $=CH$), 6.03 (d, $J = 16.4$ Hz, 1H, $=CH$), 6.83 (d, $J = 9.9$, 16.4 Hz, 1H, $=CH$); 1-hydroxyethylphthalimide **(11):** $\delta = 3.92$ **(s, 4H, CH₂)**.

Irradiation of N-phthaloyl phenylalanine and N-phthaloyl tyrosine *(and their corresponding methylesters)12a, 126, 13a,andl3b.*

Following the standard procedure, after irradiation of a 0.01 M solution of 2b in 100 ml of solvent the crude photoproduct was analyzed by ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectroscopy. Product ratios were determined using characteristic signals of the independently synthesized products.

PathA products: ¹H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) data for N-phenylethylphthalimide (15): $\delta = 2.92$ $(dd, J = 7.6, 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.83 (dd, $J = 7.6, 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH₂).

PathB products: ¹H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) data for N-phthalimide (16): $\delta = 7.68$ (s, 4H); E-

cinnamic methyl ester (E-17a): $\delta = 6.32$ (d, $J = 15.0$ Hz, 1H, $=$ CH), 6.88 (d, $J = 15.0$ Hz, 1H, $=$ CH); Z-cinnamic methyl ester $(Z-17a)$; $\delta = 5.88$ (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 1H, $=$ CH), 7.63 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, lH, =CH). *Path C products:*

from N-phthaloyl-DOPA (14a): mixtures of diastereomers (trans:cis = 89:11):

major diastereomer: $(3S, 4S)$ -2,3,4,5-Tetrahydro-4- $(3², 4²$ -dihydroxy)-phenyl-1,5-dioxo-1Hbenz[c]azepine-3-carboxylate-acetonide (*trans-*18a):

¹H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.51 (s, 6 H, 2 CH₃), 4.27 (dd, J = 4.7, 7.7 Hz, 2 H, CH), 4.48 (d, $J = 4.7$ Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 6.41 - 6.67 (m, 3 H, Ar. - H), 7.48 - 7.73 (m, 4 H, Ar. -H), 8.57 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1 H, NH).- ¹³C-NMR (64 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 26.3$ (q, 2 C), 56.8 (d), 61.8 (d), 108.8 (d), 109.8 (d), 118.8 (s), 122.4 (d), 128.9 (s) 130.8 (d), 132.9 (d), 133.6 (s), 134.8 (d, 2 C), 137.3 (s), 146.7 (s), 147.6 (s), 169.3 (s), 171.7 (s), 202.7 (s). IR (CCl₄): $v =$ 3140 (m), 2970 (w), 2880 (w), 2960 (w), 1800 (w), 1780 (m), 1620 (m), 1375 (s), 1090 (s), 990 (vs).

minor diastereomer: (3S,4R)-2,3,4,5-Tetrahydro-4-(3',4'-dihydroxy)-phenyl-1,5-dioxo-1Hbenz[c]azepine-3-carboxylate-acetonide (cis-18b):

¹H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.51$ (s, 6 H, 2 CH₃), 4.40 (d, $J = 3.2$ Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 4.95 (dd, $J = 3.2$, 6.0 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.41 - 6.67 (m, 3 H, Ar. - H), 7.48 - 7.73 (m, 4 H, Ar. -H), 8.57 (d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 1 H, NH).-1³C-NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 146.9$ (s), 147.2 (s), 167.9 (s), 170.1 (s), 203.3 (s) (separated signals from cis/trans-mixture).

from N-phthaloyl-DOPA methyl ester **(14 b):** mixtures of diastereomers (trans:cis = 90: 10): major diastereomer: Methyl-(3S,4S)-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-4-(3',4'-dihydroxy)-phenyl-1,5-dioxo-1H-benz[c]azepine-3-carboxylate-acetonide (trans-18b):

IH-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.57$ **(s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.58 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 4.18 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1 H, CH), 4.56** $(dd, J= 6.0, 10.0$ **Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 6.32 - 6.48 (m, 2 H, Ar. - H), 6.57** $(d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ Ar. -H}), 6.92 (d, J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ NH}), 7.43 (dd, J = 2.0, 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{$ Ar. - **H**), 7.52 - 7.63 (m, 2 H, Ar. - H), 7.82 (dd, $J = 2.0$, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, Ar. - H).-1³C-NMR

 $(64 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 26.0$ (q, 2 C), 53.0 (q), 58.0 (d), 65.1 (d), 108.1 (d), 108.3 (d), 118.7 (s), 121.4 (d), 128.9 (d) 129.2 (s), 130.0 (d), 131.6 (s), 132.8 (d, 2 C), 137.3 (s), 147.6 (s), 148.2 (s), 169.1 (s), 169.6 (s), 202.3 (s), - IR (CCl_d): $v = 3385$ (m), 3080 (w), 3005 (m), 2960 (w), 1740 (s), 1680 (vs), 1500 (s), 1245 (vs), 1230 (s), 985 (m).-

minor diastereomer: Methyl-(3S,4R)-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-4-(3',4'-dihydroxy)-phenyl-1,5-dioxo- $1H$ -benz[c]azepine-3-carboxylate-acetonide (cis- $18b$):

¹H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.62$ (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.63 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 4.30 (d, $J = 3.0$ Hz, 1 H, CH), 5.00 (dd, $J = 3.0$, 5.7 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 6.32 - 6.48 (m, 2 H, Ar. - H), 6.59 (d, $J =$ 6.0 Hz, 1 H, Ar. -H), 6.79 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.43 (m, 1 H, Ar. - H), 7.52 - 7.63 (m, 2 H, Ar. - H), 7.82 (m, 1 H, Ar. - H).-¹³C-NMR (64 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 167.9 (s), 168.5 (s), 202.0 (s).- (separated signals from cis/trans-mixture).-

Acknowledgements:

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (project Gr 881/7-1), the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie, and the Degussa AG, Hanau.

REFERENCES AND **NOTES**

- 1. Undergraduate research participant, spring 1993.
- Undergraduate research participant, fall 1992. 2.
- 3. a) Kanaoka, Y., *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1978, 11, 407. b) Mazzocchi, P. H., Org. Photochem. 1981,5,421. c) Coyle, J. D., *PureAppl. Chem. 1988, 60,* 941.
- 4. a) Griesbeck, A. G., Mauder H., *Angew.* Chem. 1992,104,97; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1992,31, 73.*
- 5. Hatanaka, Y., Sato, Y., Nakai, H., Wada, M., Mizoguchi, T., Kanaoka, Y., *Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1992, 1113.*
- 6. Griesbeck, A. G., Mauder, H., Miiller, I., *Chem. Ber.* 1992, 125, 2467.
- 7. Griesbeck, A. G., Mauder, H., Miiller, I., Peters, K., Peters, E.-M., von Schnering, H. *G.,TetrahedronLeu. 1993,34, 453.*
- 8. Sato, Y., Nakai, H., Wada, M., Ogiwara, H., Mizoguchi, T., Migata, Y., Hatanaka, Y., Kanaoka, Y., *Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1982,30,* 1639.
- 9. a) Coyle, J. D., Newport, G. L., *TetrahedronLeft.* **1977, 899;** b) Machida, M., Takechi, H., Kanaoka, Y., *Heterocycfes* 1980, 14, 1255.
- 10. Sato, Y., Nakai, H., Mizoguchi, T., Kawanishi, M., Hatanaka, Y., Kanaoka, Y., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1982, 30, 1263.**
- 11. Analyzed as the respective camphanoyl esters: a) Armarego, W. L. E, Milloy, B. A., Pendergast, W., *J. Chem. Sot. PerkinTrans. I 1976, 2229;* b) Hegedus, L. S., Lastra, E., Narukawa, Y., Sunstad, D. C., *J.* Am. *Chem. Sot.* 1992, 114, 2291.
- 12. Hydroxy activation through direct hydrogen transfer after electronic excitation is unlikely due to energetic reasons (G-H versus C-H bond energy difference ca. 12 kcal/Mol).
- 13. a) Saito, I., Takayama, M., Matsuura, T, Matsugo, S., Kawanishi, S., *J. Am. Chem. Sot. 1990, 112, 883;* b) Matsugo, S., Saito, I., *TetrahedronLett. 1991, 32, 2949.*
- 14. **lb :** Wiinsch, E., Wendlbergcr, G., *Chem. Ber.* **1964, 97, 2504. lb:** Nefkens, G. H. L., Tesser, G. I., Nivard, R. J. E, *Reel. Tray. Chim. Pays-Bas 1960, 79, 688.*
- 15. *4E:* Barolo, P, Rossi, I? E, *Ann. Chim. (Rome) 1969,59, 762. 42:* Bacon, R. G. R., Karim, A., *J. Chem. Sot. Perkin Trans. I 1973, 278.*
- 16. *9:* Albert, A., Matsuura, S., *J. Chem. Sot.* **1961, 5131.**
- 17. **Dehydrogenation of secondary** alcohols via hydrogen transfer to solvent molecules is a well known reaction, e.g.: Blank, B., Henne, A., Laroff, G. P., Fischer, H., *Pure Appl. Chem. 1975,41, 475.*
- 18. Simple therrncchemical calculations indicate that hydroxyl radical extrusion from an intermediary biradical (formed after carbon dioxide extrusion) would be an up-hill process. Simultaneous extrusion of $CO₂$ and OH, however, would be slightly exergonic. Another possibility is the elimination of a hydroxyl anion with formation of the alkene (4) radical cation which is subsequently reduced.
- 19. Probably one reason for this is the lower triplet energy which makes electron transfer from the hydroxyl group **energetically** less feasible as in the singlet case. The same argument would also explain the threonine results.
- 20. a) Mazzocchi, P. H., Minamikaw, S., Wilson, P., J. Org. Chem. **1985**, 50, 2681. b) Freccero, M., Fasani, E., Albini, A., *J. Org. Chem.* 1993.58, 1740.
- $21.$ We used substrates 13b and 14a, b protected at the phenolic hydroxyl groups (as the methyl ester for 13b and as acetonide for 14) to avoide oxidation to the corresponding quinoide compounds.
- 22. **A plausible** mechanism involves a hydroxyazetidine as instable intermediate:

- 23. Redox potential of N-methylphthalimide: a) - 1.37 V (DMF): Leedy, D. W., Muck, D. L., *J. Am. Chem. Sot. 1971, 93, 4264;* b) *-1.46* (acetonitrile):Freccero, M., Fasani, E., Albini, A., *J. Org. Chem.* 1993,58, 1740.
- 24. a) Coyle, J. D., Newport, G. L., Harriman, A., *J. Chem. Sot., Perkin Trans II 1978, 133.*

b) Coyle, J. D., Harriman, A., Newport, G. L., *J. Chem. Sot., Perkin Trans II 1979, 799.*

- 25. For the photophysical and photochemical properties (dual emission and TICT states) of Narylphthalimides see: Hoyle, C. E., Anzures, E. T., Subramanian, P., Nagarajan, R., Creed, D., *Macromolecules 1992, 25, 6651.*
- 26. N-Alkylphthahmides have been reported to be non-fluorescent in unpolar solvents: Berci Filho, P., Toscano, V. G., Politi, M. J., *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A* 1988. 43. 51.
- 27. Dual emission and arguments against TICT states in the N-phenyl-2,3naphthalimide photophysics: Valat, P., Wintgens, V., Kossanyi, J., Biczók, L., Demeter, A., Bérces, T., *J. Am. Chem. Sot. 1992,114, 946.*
- 28. The photochemical synthesis of the corresponding ethyl esters of benzazepine-1,5-diones 18a,b has been described: M. R. Paleo, D. Dominguez, L. Castedo, Tetrahedron Lett. *1993, 34,2369. These* authors claim a non-stereoselective photocyclization process which is in contradiction to our results.
- 29. Kidd, D. A. A., King, E E., *Nature* 1948, 162, 776.
- 30. Nefkens, G. H. L., Nature 1960,185, *309.*
- 31. Sheehan, J. C., Chapman, D. W., Roth, R. W., *J. Am. Chem. Sot. 1952, 74, 3822.*
- 32. Birch, D. J. S., Imhof, Dutch, A, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **1984**, 55, 1255.
- 33. Birch, D. J. S., Holmes, A. S., Gilchrist, J. R., *J. Phys. E. Sci. Instrum. 1987, 20, 471.*
- 34. Hof, M. E, Schleicher, J., Schneider, E W., Ber. *Bunsenges. Phys.* Chem. 1989, 93, 1377.

(Received in Germany 22 *September 1993; accepted* 11 *October 1993)*